Press&Dakotan

Wednesday, 2.5.14



THE DAKOTAS' OLDEST NEWSPAPER | FOUNDED 1861

Yankton Media, Inc., 319 Walnut St., Yankton, SD 57078

#### **CONTACT US**

PHONE: (605) 665-7811 (800) 743-2968 News Fax: (605) 665-1721 ADVERTISING FAX: (605) 665-0288 WEBSITE:

www.yankton.net EMAIL ADDRESS: news@vankton.net

SUBSCRIPTIONS/ CIRCULATION: CLASSIFIED ADS: NEWS DEPARTMENT: Extension 114 SPORTS DEPARTMENT: Extension 106 ADVERTISING OFFICE: Extension 122 **BUSINESS OFFICE:** NEW MEDIA: COMPOSING DESK: Extension 129

## **MANAGERS**

Gary L. Wood Publisher

Michele Schievelbein Advertising Director

Tonya Schild Business Manager Michael Hrycko Circulation Director Tera Schmidt Classified Manager Kelly Hertz

James D. Cimburek

Beth Rye New Media Director

Kathy Larson Composing Manager Bernard Metivier District Manager

#### **DAILY STAFF**

Melissa Bader Derek Bartos Cassandra Brockmoller Rob Buckingham Randy Dockendorf Jeannine Economy Jeremy Hoeck Nathan Johnson Robert Nielsen Muriel Pratt Jessie Priestley Matt Robinson Cathy Sudbeck Sally Whiting Brenda Willcuts Jackie Williams

#### **Published Daily** Monday-Saturday

Periodicals postage paid at Yankton, . South Dakota, under the act of March 3,

Weekly Dakotian established June 6, 1861 Yankton Daily Press and Dakotian established April 26,

Postmaster: Send address changes to Yankton Daily Press & Dakotan, 319 Walnut, Yankton, SD 57078.

### **MEMBERSHIPS**

The Yankton Daily Press & Dakotan is a member of the Associ ated Press, the Inland Daily Press Association and the South Dakota Newspaper Association. The Associated Press is entitled exclusively to use of all the local news printed in this newspaper.

#### **SUBSCRIPTION** RATES\*

(Payable in advance)

CARRIER DELIVERY 1-month . . . . . \$12.09 3 months ....\$36.27 6 months ....\$72.53 1-year .....\$133.09 MOTOR ROUTE

(where available)

1 month . . . . . \$14.51 3 months ....\$43.53 6 months ....\$87.05 1 year ......\$139.14 MAIL IN RETAIL

TRADE ZONE 1-month . . . . . \$16.93 3 months ....\$50.79 6 months ...\$101.57 1-year .....\$148.82

MAIL OUTSIDE RETAIL TRADE ZONE 3 months ....\$58.05 6 months ...\$116.09 1-year . . . . . . \$186.33 \* Plus applicable sales

**OPINION | OUR VIEW** 

# **Heroin's Toll Takes** On A New Face

he death of actor Philip Seymour Hoffman this past weekend transforms a talented but tormented man into a grim contradiction: He becomes a face and faceless.

The 46-year-old Oscar winner was found dead Sunday from an apparent overdose of heroin. A syringe was still in his left arm, and packets of heroin were strewn about his New York City apartment.

He died in the clutches of a demon.

With that, Hoffman becomes the handy face — at least for a few moments of our attention — of the terrible toll of drug addiction. (He ousts actor Cory Monteith, who died last summer and was found to have a combination of heroin and alcohol in his system.) Substances ranging from heroin and meth to prescription pain killers are still being used and abused in a society that is filled with cautions and warnings about such dangers.

Hoffman's poison was heroin, a familiar drug that is making a 21st century comeback. According to the Los Angeles Times, the use of heroin has nearly doubled since 2007, with an estimated 660,000 Americans using it in 2012. Part of this surge is due to the drug's inexpensive monetary cost, running as low as \$8 a dose. But it can be lethal: an estimated  $3{,}000$  people died from overdosing on it in 2010. In some parts of the East Coast and the southwest, heroin usage is currently likened to a plague.

Such facts and dangers were not unknown to Hoffman, who had long wrestled with the beast and had been sober for 23 years before relapsing in 2012, according to *Time's* website. For those 23 years, he was fighting and winning a war for his own soul. He reportedly gave inspirational talks to other people trying to kick their habits. The TMŻ website said Hoffman was looked upon as a "guru" of so-

He knew the demon well.

But then came his 2012 relapse and his rapid, mad descent. He was in and out of rehab programs, and reportedly told friends in December he was afraid he was going to fatally overdose. But that knowledge and fear could not stop his self-destruction.

On Sunday, that prediction came true with the lonely, meaningless death of a father of three young children and an actor with the brightest of futures in the profession he loved.

How is that *not* madness?

Alas, there are too many others like him. Perhaps you know some of the other faces: You see them in everyday places, their eyes giving away either nervous desperation or weary surrender. Perhaps you see them in your workplace or in your own home. Perhaps you see it in the mirror.

This is the most terrifying specter of the war on drugs: when those moments of temptation and weakness arrive and users are no longer in control; they lose the will to resist; they have no strength to fight back. Their lives are no longer their own.

And that could happen to any of us, in theory. We can only hope to learn. And there is much to learn from a case like Hoffman's and the image of his inglorious final bow: a syringe left in his arm, packets of the poison scattered all about him; a

On Sunday, Hoffman, the familiar face of screen and stage, also became faceless.

He fell helplessly into the parade of so many other victims of substance abuse: people who could not resist the lure of these malicious spirits — people whose faces melt into a blur of anonymous human wreckage for our consideration.

And from this, we *must* learn.

Apart from that, however, we can only look upon such people. no matter what their station was in life, and summon up the same bitter eulogy:

What a waste.

### THE VIEWS PAGE

■ The PRESS & DAKOTAN Views page provides a forum for open discussion of issues and interests affecting our readers. Initialed editorials represent the opinion of the writer, but not necessarily that of the PRESS & DAKOTAN. Bylined columns represent the view of the author. We welcome letters to the editor on current topics. Questions regarding the Views page should be directed to Kelly Hertz at views@yankton.net.

### ON THIS DATE

By The Associated Press Today is Wednesday, Feb. 5, the 36th day of 2014. There are 329 days

Today's Highlight in History: On Feb. 5, 1937, President Franklin D. Roosevelt proposed increasing the number of U.S. Supreme Court justices; the proposal, which failed in Congress, drew accusations that Roosevelt was attempting to "pack" the nation's highest court.

On this date: In 1783, Sweden recognized the independence of the United States.

In 1811, George, the Prince of Wales, was named Prince Regent due to the mental illness of his father, Britain's King George III. In 1914, "Beat Generation" author

William S. Burroughs was born in St. In 1919, movie studio United

Artists was incorporated by Mary Pickford, Douglas Fairbanks, D.W.

Griffith and Charles Chaplin. In 1922, the first edition of Reader's Digest was published. In 1940, Glenn Miller and his or-

chestra recorded "Tuxedo Junction" for RCA Victor's Bluebird label. In 1953, Walt Disney's animated feature "Peter Pan" was first released.

In 1967, "The Smothers Brothers Comedy Hour" premiered on CBS-TV. In 1971, Apollo 14 astronauts Alan Shepard and Edgar Mitchell stepped onto the surface of the moon in the first of two lunar excursions.

In 1973, services were held at Arlington National Cemetery for U.S. Army Col. William B. Nolde, the last official American combat casualty before the Vietnam cease-fire took

In 1989, the Soviet Union announced that all but a small rearguard contingent of its troops had left

In 1994, white separatist Byron De La Beckwith was convicted in Jackson, Miss., of murdering civil rights leader Medgar Evers in 1963, and was immediately sentenced to life in prison. (Beckwith died Jan. 21, 2001 at age 80.) Sixty-eight people were killed when a mortar shell exploded in a marketplace in Sarajevo, Bosnia-

Ten years ago: CIA Director

George Tenet offered a forceful defense of prewar intelligence in a speech at Georgetown University. Pakistani President Gen. Pervez Musharraf pardoned the country's top nuclear scientist, Dr. Abdul Qadeer Khan, for leaking weapons technology

to Iran. Libva and North Korea. Five years ago: Supreme Court Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg underwent surgery for pancreatic cancer. USA Swimming suspended Olympic gold medalist Michael Phelps for three months after a photo showing him inhaling from a marijuana pipe became

One year ago: President Barack Obama asked Congress for a shortterm deficit reduction package of spending cuts and tax revenue that would delay the effective date of steeper automatic cuts scheduled to kick in on March 1. (The president and congressional leaders failed to reach an agreement, and the \$85 billion in federal spending cuts, known as sequester, went into effect.)

Today's Birthdays: Baseball Hallof-Famer Hank Aaron is 80. Actor Stuart Damon is 77. Tony-winning playwright John Guare is 76. Financial writer Jane Bryant Quinn is 75. Actor David Selby is 73. Singer-songwriter Barrett Strong is 73. Football Hall-of-Famer Roger Staubach is 72. Singer Cory Wells (Three Dog Night) is 72. Movie director Michael Mann is 71. Rock singer Al Kooper is 70. Actress Charlotte Rampling is 68. Racing Hallof-Famer Darrell Waltrip is 67. Actress Barbara Hershey is 66. Actor Christopher Guest is 66. Actor Tom Wilkinson is 66. Actor-comedian Tim Meadows is 53. Actress Jennifer Jason Leigh is 52. Actress Laura Linney is 50. Rock musician Duff McKagan (Velvet Revolver) is 50. World Golf Hall-of-Famer Jose Maria Olazabal is 48. Actor-comedian Chris Parnell is 47. Rock singer Chris Barron (Spin Doctors) is 46. Singer Bobby Brown is 45. Actor Michael Sheen is 45. Country singer Sara Evans is 43. Actor-singer Darren Criss (TV: "Glee") is 27. Actor Jeremy

Thought for Today: "The greater the philosopher, the harder it is for him to answer the questions of common people." — Henryk Sienkiewicz, Polish author (1846-1916).

## FROM THE BIBLE

The way of a fool is right in his own eyes. Proverbs 12:15. Portals of Prayer, Concordia Publishing House, St. Louis



# The Lack Of Outcry **Over Inequality**

REICH

BY ROBERT B. REICH

Tribune Content Agency

People ask me all the time why we don't have a revolution in America, or at least a major wave of reform similar to that of the Progressive Era or the New Deal or the Great Society.

Middle incomes are sinking, the ranks of the poor are swelling, almost all the economic gains are going to the top and big money is corrupting our democracy. So why isn't there more of a ruckus?

The answer is complex, but three reasons stand out.

First, the working class is paralyzed with fear it will lose the jobs and wages

In earlier decades, the working class fomented reform. The labor movement led the charge for a minimum wage, 40-hour work week, unemployment insurance and Social Security.

No longer. Working people don't dare. The share of working-age Americans holding jobs is now lower than at any time in the last three decades, and 76 percent of them are living paycheck to paycheck.

No one has any job security. The last thing they want to do is make a fuss and risk losing the little they have. Besides, their major means of organizing and protecting themselves — labor unions — have been decimated. Four decades ago more than a third of private-sector workers were unionized. Now, fewer than 7 percent belong to a union.

Second, students don't dare rock the boat. In prior decades, students were a major force for social change. They played an active role in the Civil Rights movement, the Free Speech movement and against the Vietnam War. But today's students don't want to make a ruckus. They're laden with debt. Since 1999, student debt has increased more than 500 percent, yet the average starting salary for graduates has dropped 10 percent, adjusted for inflation. Student debts can't be canceled in bankruptcy. A default brings penalties and ruins a credit rating.

To make matters worse, the job market for new graduates remains lousy. Which is why

ers and revolutionaries don't look forward to living with mom and dad or worrying about credit ratings and job recommendations.

Third and finally, the American public has become so cynical about government that many no longer think

reform is possible. When asked if they believe government will do the right thing most of the time, fewer than 20 percent of Americans agree. Fifty years ago, when that question was first asked on standard surveys, more than 75 percent agreed.

It's hard to get people worked up to change society or even to change a few laws when they don't believe government can possibly work.

Was all this the result of a giant conspiracy among right-wing Republicans, corporate executives and Wall

Street moguls to cut jobs and wages in order to cow average workers, bury students under so much debt they'd never take to the streets and make most Americans so cynical about government they wouldn't even try for change?

It's more likely they and others simply allowed all this to unfold, like a giant wet blanket over the outrage and indignation most Americans feel but don't express.

Change is coming anyway. We cannot abide an ever-greater share of the nation's income and wealth going to the top while median household incomes continue to drop, one out of five of our children living in dire poverty, and big money taking over our democracy.

At some point, working people, students and the broad public will have had enough. They will reclaim our economy and our democracy. This has been the central lesson of American history.

Reform is less risky than revolution, but the longer we wait, the more likely it will be the latter.

Robert Reich is Chancellor's Professor of Public Policy at the University of California at Berkeley and Senior Fellow at the Blum Center for Developing Economies. His new film, "Inequality for All," is now out on iTunes, DVD and On Demand.

## Leonard Pitts Jr.

# **Change Across The Universe**

BY LEONARD PITTS JR.

Tribune Content Agency

It's an odd thing. Sometimes, when I speak before high school or college students, someone in the audience, knowing I began my professional life as a pop music critic, will ask what I think of music today. I always demur that I don't listen to a lot of it, but that most of what I do hear kind of, well ... bores me. While there are exceptions — i.e., Adele — much of it feels corporate, cold, plastic, image-driven, less reflective of talent than tech,

more programmed than played. Of course, the old folks are not supposed to get the young folks' music. That's the whole

point of the young folks' music. But here's the odd part: After I've said all this, as I'm bracing to take my lumps for being antique and out of touch, the young people many of them, anyway — tell me I'm right. They agree with me. That's not supposed to happen

and it says something that it does. What it says is worth pondering as we commemorate a milestone in popular music and culture. On Friday, it will be 50 years since the Beatles landed in New York City. They would appear on "The Ed Sullivan Show" — in 1964 Sullivan was what passed for music television — over three successive Sundays, twice from New York, once from the Deauville Hotel in Miami Beach.

They also squeezed in a concert in Washington. There is a great photo that captures the pandemonium of that era: It shows a hapless New York City cop carrying some girl who just fell out, limbs splayed, knocked senseless by proximity to the "lads from Liverpool." John Lennon, Paul McCartney, George Harrison and Ringo Starr brought their bright harmonies, jangling guitars, long-for-1964 hair and cheeky irreverence to America, and American girls responded in shrieks while suddenly-ignored American boys practiced looking indifferent, as if they were cinderblocks who could stand, unmoved and unchanged, by the wave now washing over them.

But they were not cinderblocks, they were sandcastles. We all were. The Beatles rode the forefront of a wave that would reshape everything — music, fashion, culture, politics — and neither America nor the world would ever be the

It is hard to imagine that Justin Bieber or Chris Brown, extraordinarily popular as they are, gives anyone that finger-stuck-in-a-wall-socket shock of something new, that lunar landing sense of discovery, that fizzy realization that you have found something made for you, about you, definitive of you and your times — not them and theirs. Has that happened since hip-hop hit middle age? Hasn't the culture become repetitive and pro forma, contenting itself with staged provocations (Madonna kissing Britney, Kanye mugging Taylor) that pretend to portend Something New Happening, but really do not? Like they're all just trying too hard?

It's too bad. That moment of Something New Happening is the birthright of every generation. To hear young people agree with some aging boomer about their music is to feel they have been cheated. Apparently, some of them know it. They're the ones telling me I'm right and listening to Beatles songs on their phones. When I was that age, I'd have put my ears out rather than lis-

ten to Nat King Cole. Because popular music is about breaking away from the staid normalcy of what came before. But maybe in an era where mom has tattoos and dad has a boyfriend, there's nothing left to break away from. That's pop culture's victory and burden, the unseen thing Justin Bieber and Rihanna struggle against.

They ply their trade in a day when change has a tour jacket and a corporate sponsor. But as that girl passed out in a policeman's arms could tell you: It's just not the same. Fifty years ago, change stepped off a jet at JFK and sent the country into an uproar. We have gained much but lost a few things, too — on the long and winding road since then.

Leonard Pitts is a columnist for The Miami Herald, 1 Herald Plaza, Miami, Fla., 33132. Readers may contact him via e-mail at lpitts@miami-

© 2013, The Miami Herald

## YOUR LETTERS

## **Farm Safety**

Fred Bender, Yankton I read with interest your paper's lead story in the Feb. 3 *Press & Dakotan* regarding efforts to keep OSHA out of farming. It is a long-term interest to me, having grown up on a farm and having

been a farmer for 23 years. I have heard concern from farmers for most if not all of my life. The aspect that has never made sense to me is that farming IS a dangerous occu-

pation and OSHA pursues "occupational safety and health." I'm sure it is flawed. What in our world isn't? My father lost a brother in a farm accident. I lost a brother in a farm accident. I almost died in a farm accident. Every year there are stories of farmers dying in grain bin accidents. Farmers are flawed as well. Everyone is.

Where is the sanity in opposing improvements in safety and health in an occupation as dangerous as farming?